

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| 10/750,332 | 12/31/2003 | Ellen O. Aeling | 59054US002 | 4681 | |
| 32692 | 7590 03/10/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY | | | BLACKMAN, RO | BLACKMAN, ROCHELLE ANN J | |
| PO BOX 334 | | | To the state of th | | |
| ST. PAUL, N | MN 55133-3427 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2851 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| E) | J | |
|----|---|--|
| | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-------------------|---------------|
| 10/750,332 | AELING ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Rochelle Blackman | 2851 |

| Advisory Action | 10/750,332 | AELING ET AL. | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | - - | | | |
| - | Rochelle Blackman | 2851 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | | | | | |
| ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | : FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da). | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of e appeal. Since | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | will make a managed to | | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | - | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>the proposed amendment are new issues</u> 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | • | | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | , in priority in order | , | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | • | _ | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | Il be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| WB Perkey William Perkey | | | | | | |
| William Perkey Primary Examiner | | | | | | |